[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 15 September 2026 23:14
To: Crown Casework; planning@cherwell-dcpc.org
Cc: [redacted]@piddingtonpc.org
Subject: Application reference : PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

[redacted]

Dear Sir/ Madam

15/09/2026

Application reference : PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

I am writing to formally object to the proposed use of the MOD site at Piddington for asylum accommodation.

Piddington is a small community which currently is a very safe place to live. My family and I are very concerned about the safety implications that 1256 men, of which there is very little documentation on who they are, being kept in an open facility on the edge of the village.

The site is approximately 5 miles from the nearest town, if residents were to leave the site on foot Piddington is the nearest village. There are no services, no shop and no pub and approximately 350 residents who will be massively outnumbered.

The site is situated on the B4011, which is not safely walkable with a speed limit of 50MPH. Although the documentation shows discrepancies in relation to this figure, there is no collision search and no safety assessment anywhere in the Transport Assessment.

There are major discrepancies within the planning application and various surveys either incomplete or missing, therefore this application should be resubmitted with correct detail, completed risk assessments and mitigation measures outlined before it can be properly reviewed.

The ecology surveys are inadequate or just haven't been carried out. I know of at least one rare species of bird, which hasn't been mentioned in the report on the site, which needs consideration. What needs more consideration however, is the safety of women and children of the village of Piddington.

We lost our last home due to compulsory purchase from another poorly run government scheme. Large scale government projects I have been involved with both railway development projects and windfarm projects, both in proximity to our homes have at the very least carried out impact surveys.

The relevant ones of these for this situation being noise monitoring to obtain a base level readings, flooding impact assessments, pollution impacts, increased traffic, road safety and community impact.

This is a very lacking planning application which I know if I attempted to submit something with this amount of information either missing or factually incorrect, it would be returned to me and would be objected.

What I do hope is that the final decision makers for this proposal have families themselves and will consider what they would make of it having 1256 unknown men approximately 250m from the bottom of their garden and how they would continue to continue their lives. One of my fears is I will no longer be able to leave the house to go to work knowing my children are there alone.

On this basis I strongly suggest the application is refused, detailed assessments need to have been carried out to ensure the safety and impact on potential users of the site, local residents and environment.

Yours sincerely

Mark Blake

[redacted]